

June 11, 1998
W 98-02-20

660

1 process, we have been in negotiations with
2 the Department of Justice about performance
3 standards. And, I believe, we have agreed to
4 interconnection agreements, and with the
5 discussions with the Department of Justice
6 that we have agreed to a set of 65
7 performance standards that my understanding,
8 have -- the Department of Justice has agreed
9 has met the intent of the Telecom Act.

10 Q. (Vallee) Is the company subject to
11 anything like SNET's Q factor here?

12 A. (Kahan) Productivity offset 1?

13 A. (Laundy) No, service quality
14 offset.

15 A. (Kahan) Every one of the states has
16 service quality measurements. I don't know
17 if any of them tie back to the productivity
18 factor, but, I believe, in certain of our
19 states there are financial penalties if,
20 certain objectives are not met.

21 As a matter of fact, in California
22 before we made the acquisition they were
23 paying pretty significant penalties, as a
24 matter of fact, and we have improved in all

25 those. As far as I am aware of, we have not

1 paid any penalties.

2 Q. (Vallee) You are aware that SNET
3 has?

4 A. (Kahan) My understanding is that
5 they have missed one of their service quality
6 measurements and has resulted in payment of
7 financial penalties.

8 Q. (Vallee) In following along with
9 that, SBC, you have been outspoken about the
10 fact that you want to expand the line
11 penetration. That seems to be a forte of the
12 company.

13 Ms. MacClintock addressed the
14 problems that would perhaps be encountered
15 here in her supplemental testimony at page 17
16 where she said the telco has facilities
17 shortages in its outside plant. I know you
18 have not done postmerger --

19 A. (Kahan) Let me give an example,
20 similar people, different result. In
21 California in the San Francisco and Silicon
22 Valley area, PacTel had diverted significant
23 funds to build their HFC network. And their
24 thought process was that they were moving

1 traffic to that new network. They had
2 cutback the investment in the copper
3 network.

4 The result was when we got out
5 there if you wanted a new line in San
6 Francisco you had to wait two or three
7 weeks. That is unheard of in our industry.
8 A repair line took two or three weeks.

9 We increased the investment. When
10 service problems result, often they are the
11 result of either central office or outside
12 plan lack of infrastructure. Sometimes, it
13 is procedures and training and things like
14 that that you can take action on. But in the
15 case of California, what we did is two
16 things. We increased the investment and we
17 hired, I think, 250 -- 1,000 outside plant
18 and technicians and repair people to handle
19 the load.

20 So there is an example of the
21 action. Is that the right action here? I
22 don't know. We have to study it. But at its
23 best it keeps you from selling second lines;
24 at its worst you can even handle first

25 lines. That is unacceptable.

June 8, 1998
IN 98-02-20

178

1 We believe based on our track
2 record, our commitment to CUTW, we fully
3 intend to grow employment in Connecticut.

4 There are difficulties with
5 predicting how and when, when you don't have
6 any big lines of business like cellular, even
7 long distance. There is already that service
8 offering in Connecticut.

9 And so we do believe realistically
10 there is going to be ups and downs in the
11 level of management employees but over the
12 longer haul, we will definitely grow
13 employment in Connecticut.

14 A. (Kahan) Can I give some facts on
15 that? Let me give an example of our growth
16 orientation.

17 Our penetration rate of our
18 cellular business is 12.2 percent nationwide.
19 We are the leader in the industry, highest
20 penetration of customers to the area served.

21 Southern New England is about 8
22 percent. If we can grow Southern New England
23 penetration from 8 to 12 percent, that is
24 going to add a lot of jobs.

25

If we can sell more second lines,

1 there are significantly fewer second lines in
2 Connecticut than the demographics would lead
3 you to believe there should be, there are
4 fewer vertical services sold than the
5 demographics of the community would lead you
6 to believe.

7 To the extent we can be more
8 successful, rolling out new products, selling
9 the products, what that means is more service
10 jobs, more technicians, more switch, more
11 construction and investment that is the
12 tie-in to our growth philosophy.

13 If we invest on not reducing
14 expenses, per se, we are not a company that
15 tries to take expenses out.

16 If you can grow revenue, you can
17 grow the business. If you can grow the
18 business, you need more employees. That is
19 what we want to do.

20 Q. (Auger) You mentioned that
21 commitment you made with CUTW, was there
22 something similar to the Pacific-Telesis
23 merger?

24 A. (Carr) No, there was not a

STATE OF CONNECTICUT
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITY CONTROL

JOINT APPLICATION OF SBC : DOCKET NO. 98-02-20
COMMUNICATIONS INC. AND SOUTHERN :
NEW ENGLAND TELECOMMUNICATIONS :
CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF A :
CHANGE OF CONTROL : MARCH 10, 1998

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF JAMES S. KAHAN
ON BEHALF OF
SBC COMMUNICATIONS INC.

1 an Ericsson AXE switch,, a DMS 100/200, DMS 250 switch
2 manufactured by Northern Telecom, a wireless switch, two
3 Intelligent Peripheral platforms, Six Integrated Service
4 Control Point ("ISCP") platforms covering advanced
5 intelligent network, local number portability and home
6 location register applications, three signal transfer point
7 platforms, and six voice mail platforms (including
8 Converse, Access and Access NP Digital Sound, OcTele,
9 Sierra and Unix' platforms). Finally, these systems are
10 interconnected to test environments that support the
11 development of Operation Support Systems to validate proper
12 handling of the provisioning, activation and maintenance
13 functions of customer service. This is an extremely
14 complex, highly technical, sophisticated laboratory which
15 can be made available to SNET to facilitate the testing and
16 provisioning of new services in Connecticut.

17
18 Q. Does SBC have other assets which can be brought to bear in
19 Connecticut?

20
21 A. Yes, we do. SBC has an outstanding marketing staff which
22 has devoted years of effort and significant financial
23 resources to the development of effective, customer focused
24 marketing programs. As SBC always does, our Marketing

1 Department begins its analysis by focusing on the customer-
2 -what products and services do our customers want, what
3 packages and products and services are most attractive to
4 our customers, and what are customers willing to pay for
5 those products and services? Based on this analysis, SBC's
6 marketing staff has identified product packages which are
7 valued by our customers and has developed marketing
8 programs which facilitate customers becoming aware of and
9 purchasing those desirable offerings.

10

11 Q. How do you measure SBC's marketing success?

12

13 A. You can measure SBC's success by the penetration levels of
14 certain products and services offered by SBC. Second-line
15 penetration is an excellent example. There is no question
16 that, in today's highly technical environment, many
17 Connecticut customers would benefit greatly from the
18 presence of second lines at their residences. With the
19 increased popularity of the Internet, and the high
20 penetration of home PCs and home fax machines, the
21 availability of second lines is of great value. This is
22 particularly true in a State such as Connecticut where
23 there is such a high concentration of highly educated, high
24 income individuals. SNET Telco's second line penetration by

1 comparison is currently less than 8% of the households
2 served. The SWBT and Pacific Bell second line penetration
3 is set out in the following chart:

4	5	6
	<u>State</u>	<u>Second Line Penetration</u>
7	California	22.9
8	Texas	19.83
9	Oklahoma	15.42
10	Missouri	12.73
11	Kansas	12.63
12	Arkansas	11.25

13
14 Similar comparisons apply to features. SNET Telco's
15 residence penetration level in Caller ID is 5.4%, compared
16 to SBC's Caller ID penetration of 47% in SBC's traditional
17 five state local service territory. SNET's penetration for
18 residence voice mail is 2.7%, compared to SBC's voice mail
19 penetration level of 13.6% in the State of Texas and 12.24%
20 in the traditional five state territory. The combination
21 of SNET's employees and network with SBC's marketing
22 expertise and resources can greatly increase the
23 Connecticut consumer's awareness of and utilization of
24 these valuable telecommunications products.

1
2 SNET has focused its relatively limited resources on
3 marketing long distance both on an interstate and
4 intrastate level. They have done an outstanding job in
5 this highly competitive area and SBC expects to gain
6 valuable knowledge and experience from SNET about the long
7 distance business. With SBC's expertise in marketing and
8 financial resources, SNET can continue its long distance
9 efforts while increasing its activities in areas such as
10 marketing caller ID and second lines.

11
12 Q. Do you expect that SNET can benefit from SBC's wireless
13 expertise?

14
15 A. SBC is the second largest provider of wireless services in
16 this country. We are fully committed to providing the
17 highest quality wireless networks available. We have
18 extensive experience with virtually every wireless
19 technology in the marketplace.

20
21 For example, we use a number of different switch vendors
22 and network platforms in our wireless markets. In Chicago,
23 we have what is quite possibly the largest Ericsson based
24 cellular network in the country, if not the world. We are



Southern New England
Telecommunications Corporation
227 Church Street
New Haven, Connecticut 06510

1997 Annual Report & 1998 Proxy Statement

**Wireline
Wireless
Information and
Entertainment**

Financial Highlights

Dollars in Millions, Except as Noted

	1997	1996	1995
Operating Results			
Revenues and Sales	\$2,022.3	\$1,941.9	\$1,816.4
Annual Growth	4.1%	6.9%	5.7%
Costs and Expenses ⁽¹⁾	\$1,245.7	\$1,203.6	\$1,121.6
Net Income (Loss) ⁽²⁾	\$ 193.8	\$ 192.8	\$ (518.3)
Per Share Information (dollars)			
Basic Earnings Per Share			
Income Before Extraordinary Charge	\$ 2.99	\$ 2.95	\$ 2.60
Net Income (Loss) ⁽²⁾	\$ 2.93	\$ 2.95	\$ (7.99)
Diluted Earnings Per Share			
Income Before Extraordinary Charge	\$ 2.98	\$ 2.94	\$ 2.60
Net Income (Loss) ⁽²⁾	\$ 2.92	\$ 2.94	\$ (7.99)
Dividends Declared	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.76	\$ 1.76
Market Price (year-end)	\$ 50.313	\$ 38.875	\$ 39.750
At Year-End			
Total Assets	\$2,770.9	\$2,671.0	\$2,724.2
Debt Ratio	69.2%	74.9%	80.0%
Total Employees	9,743	9,441	9,070
Statistical Data			
Network Access Lines in Service (thousands) ⁽³⁾	2,286	2,163	2,073
Annual Growth ⁽³⁾	5.7%	4.3%	3.2%
Second Residential Network Access Lines in Service (thousands)	127	97	75
Annual Growth	30.9%	29.3%	25.0%
Network Interstate Access Minutes of Use (millions)	8,291	7,906	7,298
Annual Growth	4.9%	8.3%	5.5%
Interstate and International Toll Access Line Subscribers (thousands)	941	758	266
Annual Growth	24.1%	185.0%	127.4%
Cellular Subscribers (thousands) ⁽⁴⁾	457	392	323
Annual Growth ⁽⁴⁾	16.6%	21.4%	94.6%
Other Data			
Operating Cash Flow ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 776.6	\$ 738.3	\$ 694.8
Telephone Company Wireline Cost Per Access Line (dollars) ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 312	\$ 332	\$ 320
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	\$ 616.0	\$ 477.4	\$ 442.6
Cash Expended for Capital Additions	\$ 472.4	\$ 373.8	\$ 357.4
Cash Dividends Paid	\$ 102.4	\$ 100.2	\$ 98.0

(1) Excludes depreciation and amortization.

(2) 1997 includes a \$6.4 before-tax extraordinary charge for the early extinguishment of debt that reduced net income by \$3.7 and both basic and diluted earnings per share by \$.06. 1995 includes a \$1,202.6 before-tax extraordinary charge for the discontinuance of SFAS No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation," that reduced net income by \$687.1 and basic and diluted earnings per share by \$10.59.

(3) Excluding the purchase of Woodbury Telephone Company ("Woodbury"), network access lines in service would have increased 4.7% to 2,265,000 in 1997.

(4) Excluding the subscribers from the acquired cellular properties, cellular subscribers would have increased 51.1% to 251,000 subscribers in 1995.

(5) Represents operating income before depreciation and amortization. Operating cash flow is not a generally accepted accounting principle measurement. Management provides this measurement for informational purposes only.

(6) Excludes depreciation and amortization, property and other taxes, publishing and bad debt expenses. Also, excludes costs and access lines resulting from the purchase of Woodbury.

\$10.59 per share, for both basic and diluted earnings per share, related to the discontinuance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 71, "Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation." This non-cash extraordinary charge consisted of the elimination of net regulatory assets and the recognition of depreciation reserve deficiencies. As a result of this charge, net loss for 1995 was \$518.3, or \$7.99 per share for both basic and diluted earnings per share.

Revenues and Sales

Revenues and sales increased \$80.4, or 4.1%, in 1997 and \$125.5, or 6.9%, in 1996. The components of revenues and sales by product group are summarized as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31,	1997	1996	1995
Wireline:			
Local service	\$ 701.9	\$ 673.7	\$ 641.6
Network access	429.2	388.1	369.4
Intrastate toll	213.0	251.2	266.4
Interstate and international toll	142.1	101.2	42.1
Premium services and equipment sales	126.7	107.6	104.9
Other revenues	52.8	50.1	57.0
Total Wireline	1,665.7	1,571.9	1,481.4
Wireless:			
Cellular service	213.7	203.0	153.1
Cellular equipment sales	7.2	10.1	7.8
Paging	6.5	6.1	12.2
Total Wireless	227.4	219.2	173.1
Information and Entertainment	189.4	184.2	180.9
Eliminations and Other Sales	(60.2)	(33.4)	(19.0)
Total Revenues and Sales	\$2,022.3	\$1,941.9	\$1,816.4

Revenues increased due primarily to growth in interstate and international toll, local service and network access, offset partially by declines in intrastate toll due primarily to competition.

Wireline Local service revenues, derived from providing local exchange, advanced calling features and local private line services, increased \$28.2, or 4.2%, in 1997 and \$32.1, or 5.0%, in 1996. Growth in 1997 and 1996 was primarily attributable to increases of 5.7% and 4.3%, respectively, in the number of access lines in service. Excluding the purchase of Woodbury Telephone Company ("Woodbury") [see Note 2], access lines would have increased 4.7%. The increases in access lines for both years included significant growth in Centrex business lines and second residential lines. Additionally, in 1997, local service revenues increased due to compensation received as part of the pay telephone reclassification and compensation provisions of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act") [see Regulatory Matters—Federal]. Local service revenues also increased due to growth in vertical services. The increase in local service revenues for 1997 was tempered by a decrease in revenues recognized from wireless carriers (due to a decrease in the generic wireless tariff in accordance with the Act) and customer migration from flat-rate services to lower

priced Centrex services. Management expects increased competition to negatively impact local service revenues as other telecommunications providers offer local service and as the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control ("DPUC")-mandated balloting process commences, scheduled for early 1999 [see Competition].

Network access revenues represent charges assessed on interexchange carriers and end users for access to the local exchange network. 1997 network access revenues increased \$41.1, or 10.6%, compared with an increase of \$18.7, or 5.1%, in 1996. Interstate access revenues increased \$24.6, or 6.8%, in 1997 due primarily to the effects of the reversal of proposed 1996 tariff changes and interconnection discount plans, and to growth in interstate minutes of use of 4.9% and an increase in access lines in service. Offsetting the impact of these items was a decrease in annual tariff rates in accordance with the Corporation's July 1997 Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") filing under price cap regulation [see Regulatory Matters—Federal]. Interstate access revenues in 1996 increased \$10.2, or 2.9%, due primarily to an 8.3% growth in interstate minutes of use and an increase in access lines in service. Partially offsetting the impact of the increase in minutes of use was a decrease in rates due to proposed tariff changes and interconnection discount plans and reduced access tariff rates. In 1997 and 1996, intrastate access revenues increased \$15.4 and \$8.5, respectively, due primarily to an increase in intrastate minutes of use by competitive providers of intrastate long-distance service. Management expects continued increases in minutes of use as more competitors enter Connecticut's fully competitive marketplace.

In 1997, intrastate toll revenues, which include primarily revenues from toll and WATS "800" services, decreased \$38.2, or 15.2%, compared with a decrease of \$15.2, or 5.7%, in 1996. The decrease in 1997 was due primarily to a 12.2% reduction in toll message volume, as well as reduced intrastate toll rates. Lower toll volume was due primarily to the highly competitive toll market as a result of a full year of intrastate equal access. The decrease in intrastate toll revenues in 1996 was due primarily to a decline in intrastate toll rates attributable to customer migration to several discount calling plans. Also contributing to the decrease was a reduction in toll message volume of approximately 1%. Competition and the offering of competitive discount calling plans will continue to place downward pressure on intrastate toll revenues.

Interstate and international toll revenues increased \$40.9 in 1997 and \$59.1 in 1996. In both 1997 and 1996, the increase was a result of significant growth in the customer base. Long-distance access lines in service increased to 941,000 at the end of 1997 from 758,000 at the end of 1996. The growth was primarily a result of customer migration to the SNET All Distance® product



News Release

227 Church Street
New Haven, Connecticut 06510

July 27, 1998

For more information contact: Jim Magrone
(203) 771-4662

SNET Second Quarter Net Income \$53.1 Million, Up 6.2%

New Haven, Conn., Southern New England Telecommunications Corporation (SNET) -- (NYSE: SNG) -- announced today that second quarter net income for 1998 was \$53.1 million, a 6.2% increase when compared with \$50.0 million in the second quarter of 1997. Diluted earnings per share were \$.77 for the quarter versus \$.76 last year when there were 2.6 million fewer diluted shares outstanding.

Daniel J. Miglio, SNET's chairman and chief executive officer, said, "We are very pleased with our performance. Even with intense competition in our markets, revenues grew 7.4%, fueled by continuing healthy growth in all of our product lines. And net income growth reflects the incremental impacts of regulatory mandates.

"In a J.D. Power study, released just last week, SNET for the second consecutive year has been rated the number one long-distance company in America among mainstream users. We are immensely pleased with the high scores our customers give us for credibility, cost, value and customer service.

"The approval process for our pending merger with SBC Communications is moving along according to plan and we still expect the merger to close during 1998," he added.

Revenues

Consolidated revenues and sales for the quarter were \$538.6 million, up 7.4%.

Wireline revenues grew to \$434.4 million, an increase of 6.5%. Local service revenues were up 3.6%, the result of continued strong growth in business and second residential lines. Network-access revenues increased 5.8% primarily a result of growth in minutes of use and data services. In-state toll revenues decreased 4.4%, reflecting increased competition. Revenues from the interstate/international long-distance business grew 18.0%, due primarily to an increase in customers.

Wireless revenues were \$66.1 million, up 12.8%, reflecting 14.2% growth in customers.

Information and Entertainment revenues grew by 24.5% to \$58.5 million, due primarily to growth in Publishing and our cable TV business as well as a doubling of the number of Internet customers.

Expenses

Consolidated operating expenses for the second quarter increased to \$322.4 million, up 9.2%.

Wireline operating expenses rose \$21.3 million, or 8.7%, reflecting costs associated with expanding our interstate and international customer base and increased Local Number Portability costs.

Wireless operating expenses increased \$2.5 million, or 5.9%, to support customer growth and for continuing the rollout of digital service.

Information and Entertainment operating expenses rose by \$16.1 million due primarily to costs associated with the restructure of Publishing and growth in cable TV and Internet services.

Depreciation and amortization expenses were up \$1.4 million, or 1.5%, due to higher depreciable plant levels. Interest expense was essentially flat. Income taxes increased \$1.9 million, or 6.3%, the result of higher pre-tax income.

Outstanding Shares

The weighted average number of outstanding shares for the quarter for both basic and diluted EPS increased by 3.2% and 4.0% respectively, primarily the result of the exercise of employee stock options.

SNET is a leading information, communication and entertainment company in Connecticut, offering a full range of wireline products including SNET All Distance® service as well as wireless voice and data services, Internet access and cable TV. The company is building I-SNET®, a statewide broadband information superhighway. In the latest J.D. Power national customer satisfaction survey, SNET was ranked the number one long-distance company in America among mainstream users for the second straight year.

XXX

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions Except Per Share Amounts)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 3 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
INCOME STATEMENT			
Revenues and Sales	<u>\$538.6</u>	<u>\$501.6</u>	7.4%
Costs and Expenses:			
Operating and maintenance	322.4	295.3	9.2%
Depreciation and amortization	95.8	94.4	1.5%
Taxes other than income	<u>13.5</u>	<u>13.4</u>	.7%
Total Costs and Expenses	<u>431.7</u>	<u>403.1</u>	7.1%
Operating Income	106.9	98.5	8.5%
Interest expense	22.3	22.4	(.4%)
Other income, net	<u>.4</u>	<u>3.9</u>	
Income Before Income Taxes	85.0	80.0	6.3%
Income taxes	<u>31.9</u>	<u>30.0</u>	6.3%
Net Income	<u>\$53.1</u>	<u>\$50.0</u>	6.2%
 Weighted Average Basic Common Shares			
Outstanding (thousands)*	<u>68,025</u>	<u>65,912</u>	3.2%
 Weighted Average Diluted Common Shares			
Outstanding (thousands)*	<u>68,636</u>	<u>66,016</u>	4.0%

* Effective December 31, 1997, SNET adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, "Earnings per Share." Under SFAS No. 128, basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In order to compute diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of common shares is increased by the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during the period. As required by SFAS No. 128, all periods presented have been restated to conform to the provisions of the new standard.

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions Except Per Share Amounts)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 3 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE*			
Net Income**	<u>\$0.78</u>	<u>\$0.76</u>	2.6%
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE*			
Net Income**	<u>\$0.77</u>	<u>\$0.76</u>	1.3%
STATISTICS			
Access Lines in Service			
(thousands)	2,335	2,205	5.9%
Interstate Minutes of Use			
(millions)	2,159	2,053	5.2%

* Effective December 31, 1997, SNET adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, "Earnings per Share." Under SFAS No. 128, basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In order to compute diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of common shares is increased by the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during the period. As required by SFAS No.128, all periods presented have been restated to conform to the provisions of the new standard.

** 1997 amount does not include the accounting change. If the accounting change had been applied to 1997, Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share Before Extraordinary Charge and Accounting Change for the period would have been \$.77. The Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share percent change for the period would have been 1.3% and 0.0%, respectively.

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions Except Per Share Amounts)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 6 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
INCOME STATEMENT			
Revenues and Sales	<u>\$1,065.7</u>	<u>\$984.3</u>	8.3%
Costs and Expenses:			
Operating and maintenance	627.8	576.9	8.8%
Depreciation			
and amortization	190.8	186.0	2.6%
Taxes other than income	<u>26.4</u>	<u>26.5</u>	(.4%)
Total Costs and Expenses	<u>845.0</u>	<u>789.4</u>	7.0%
Operating Income	220.7	194.9	13.2%
Interest expense	44.9	45.1	(.4%)
Other income, net	<u>(.6)</u>	<u>4.0</u>	
Income Before Income Taxes	175.2	153.8	13.9%
Income taxes	<u>65.7</u>	<u>57.7</u>	13.9%
Income Before Extraordinary			
Charge and Accounting Change	109.5	96.1	13.9%
Extraordinary Charge,			
Net of Tax	-	(3.7)	
Accounting Change, Net of Tax	<u>15.5</u>	<u>-</u>	
 Net Income	 <u>\$125.0</u>	 <u>\$92.4</u>	 35.3%
 Weighted Average Basic Common Shares			
Outstanding (thousands)*	<u>67,627</u>	<u>65,848</u>	2.7%
 Weighted Average Diluted Common Shares			
Outstanding (thousands)*	<u>68,317</u>	<u>55,930</u>	3.6%

* Effective December 31, 1997, SNET adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, "Earnings per Share." Under SFAS No. 128, basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In order to compute diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of common shares is increased by the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during the period. As required by SFAS No. 128, all periods presented have been restated to conform to the provisions of the new standard.

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions Except Per Share Amounts)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 6 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE*			
Income Before			
Extraordinary Charge and			
Accounting Change**	\$1.62	\$1.46	11.0%
Extraordinary Charge,			
Net of Tax	-	(0.06)	
Accounting Change, Net of Tax	<u>.23</u>	<u>-</u>	
Net Income	<u>\$1.85</u>	<u>\$1.40</u>	32.1%
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE*			
Income Before			
Extraordinary Charge and			
Accounting Change**	\$1.60	\$1.46	9.6%
Extraordinary Charge,			
Net of Tax	-	(0.06)	
Accounting Change, Net of Tax	<u>.23</u>	<u>-</u>	
Net Income	<u>\$1.83</u>	<u>\$1.40</u>	30.7%
STATISTICS			
Access Lines in Service			
(thousands)	2,335	2,205	5.9%
Interstate Minutes of Use			
(millions)	4,332	4,101	5.6%

* Effective December 31, 1997, SNET adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, "Earnings per Share." Under SFAS No. 128, basic earnings per common share is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. In order to compute diluted earnings per share, the weighted average number of common shares is increased by the effect of all potential common shares outstanding during the period. As required by SFAS No. 128, all periods presented have been restated to conform to the provisions of the new standard.

** 1997 amount does not include the accounting change. If the accounting change had been applied to 1997, Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share Before Extraordinary Charge and Accounting Change for the period would have been \$1.53. The Basic and Diluted Earnings Per Share percent change for the period would have been 5.9% and 4.6%, respectively.

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 3 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
REVENUES AND SALES			
Wireline			
Local Service	\$181.7	\$175.4	3.6%
Network Access	113.6	107.4	5.8%
Intrastate Toll	49.9	52.2	(4.4%)
Interstate and			
International Toll	40.6	34.4	18.0%
Premium Services and			
Equipment Sales	34.4	27.7	24.2%
Other	<u>14.2</u>	<u>10.9</u>	30.3%
Total Wireline	434.4	408.0	6.5%
Wireless	66.1	58.6	12.8%
Information and Entertainment	58.5	47.0	**
Other *	<u>(20.4)</u>	<u>(12.0)</u>	
Total Revenues and Sales	<u>\$538.6</u>	<u>\$501.6</u>	7.4%
OPERATING CASH FLOW (EBITDA)			
Wireline	\$155.1	\$149.9	3.5%
Wireless	20.4	15.5	31.6%
Information and Entertainment	18.9	23.5	**
Other *	<u>8.3</u>	<u>4.0</u>	
Total Operating Cash Flow	<u>\$202.7</u>	<u>\$192.9</u>	5.1%

* Includes Real Estate and Holding Company operations and eliminations.

** Reflects a change in accounting for Publishing. Absent the change, revenue grew approximately 20%, and Operating Cash Flow declined approximately 24%.

SNET
Preliminary Summary of Consolidated Results
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 1998
(Dollars in Millions)

	(Unaudited)		
	For the 6 Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Change
	<u>1998</u>	<u>1997</u>	
REVENUES AND SALES			
Wireline			
Local Service	\$365.6	\$344.8	6.0%
Network Access	221.8	210.0	5.6%
Intrastate Toll	100.7	105.6	(4.6%)
Interstate and			
International Toll	81.8	64.9	26.0%
Premium Services and			
Equipment Sales	62.1	55.4	12.1%
Other	<u>27.2</u>	<u>23.3</u>	16.7%
Total Wireline	859.2	804.0	6.9%
Wireless	124.1	109.5	13.3%
Information and Entertainment	120.6	93.7	**
Other *	<u>(38.2)</u>	<u>(22.9)</u>	
Total Revenues and Sales	<u>\$1,065.7</u>	<u>\$984.3</u>	8.3%
OPERATING CASH FLOW (EBITDA)			
Wireline	\$317.2	\$293.8	8.0%
Wireless	35.2	28.5	23.5%
Information and Entertainment	47.2	48.8	**
Other *	<u>11.9</u>	<u>9.8</u>	
Total Operating Cash Flow	<u>\$411.5</u>	<u>\$380.9</u>	8.0%

* Includes Real Estate and Holding Company operations and eliminations.

** Reflects a change in accounting for Publishing. Absent the change, revenue grew approximately 17%, and Operating Cash Flow declined approximately 18%.